

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiesa: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/597,454	01/30/2007	Keiichiro Oishi	MIKI0003	1329
24:03 75:00 10:142:00:8 GRIFFIN & SZIPL, PC SUITE PH-1 23:00 NINTH STREET, SOUTH ARLINGTON, VA 22:04			EXAMINER	
			COHEN, STEFANIE J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		4162	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/14/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/597.454 OISHI, KEIICHIRO Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit STEFANIE COHEN 4162 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) STEFANIE COHEN. (3) (4)____. (2) Wesley Ashton. Date of Interview: 06 October 2008. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description: ____ Claim(s) discussed: 26-27: 33:43-47: 84-85. Identification of prior art discussed: US 2002/0159912; US Patents 5288458, 4110132, 4515204. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. a) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussion of claims not clearly included in the rejection. Claims 43-47, although addressed on page 11 of the non-final rejection, these claims were not initially rejected in the opening statement. Claims 26-27 have the same rationale as claims 136-137 except they are dependent on claim 1. Claim 33 is rejected under Parikh in view of Oisha. Claims 84-85 have the same rationale as claims 86-87 except they are dependent on claim 51. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.